



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,697	12/31/2003	Yelena Khandros	2348.0080000	2177

53636 7590 05/14/2009
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX, P.L.L.C.
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934

EXAMINER

BARTLEY, KENNETH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3693

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/14/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/749,697	Applicant(s) KHANDROS ET AL.	
	Examiner KENNETH L. BARTLEY	Art Unit 3693	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KENNETH L. BARTLEY. (3) Jason Eisenberg (Reg. No. 43,447).

(2) William Ladd. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 20, and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: 5970785 (Klug) and 7155739 (Bari) - brief discussion.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Review of proposed amended and new claims and discussion of their overcoming prior art. Applicant also discussed 35 USC 112 rejections and Examiner to reconsider 112 based on amendments and cited areas (e.g. para. 9 - publ) in specification.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kenneth L. Bartley/ Examiner, Art Unit 3693	
---	--